

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/648,770	Applicant(s) NARAYANAN, RAM GOPAL LAKSHMI	
	Examiner MINH DINH	Art Unit 2432	

All Participants:

(1) MINH DINH.

(2) JEFFREY W. GLUCK.

Date of Interview: 2 March 2010

Time: 10:00 am

Status of Application: Response to non-final office action entered and forwarded to examiner

(3) _____

(4) _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 11, 16, 33 and 38

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner proposed changing "a hello packet or a link state advertisement" to "a hello packet and a link state advertisement" for all independent claims to avoid new subject matter issue. Mr. Gluck approved the proposed changes.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____/Minh Dinh/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2432

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)